

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Hatent and Trademark Office Address: Control SSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Book 1450 Alexandra Visemia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,738	04/25/2000	KEVIN B. GJERSTAD	1018.097US1	9935
45809 7	590 04/21/2006	EXAMINER		
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.			BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
(c/o MICROSOFT CORPORATION) 2555 GRAND BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Y, MO 64108-2613		2176	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	:
	09/557,738		GJERSTAD ET AL.	
	Heather R. Herno	don	Art Unit 2176	
Document Code - AP.PRE	DEC			:
Document Code - AP.PRE	DEC			·

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

		:
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed <u>3-28-06</u> .	: :
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the foll	owing
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr ☐ Other: 	eview is appropriate.	
The time period for filing a response continues to run fitthe mail date of the last Office communication, if no No		l or from
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because this required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this derunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	nere is at least one actual issue for appeal. In 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing a cision, or the balance of the two-month time ever is greater. Further, the time period for	Applicant an appeal e period filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the clocking allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 20-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	aim(s) is as follows:	
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rerapplicant at this time.		
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a		Office
All participants: All participants:		· · · · · ·
(1) Heather R. Herndon SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	(3) <u>Joe Dixon</u> .	:
(2) William Bashore	(4)	

the